



Contractual Commitments and Consequences for Energy Business Interruption Losses

Presented by:
Clive Burrows – Director, Dubai

17 April 2019



The information provided here is of a general nature and is not intended to address the specific circumstances of any individual or entity. In specific circumstances, the services of a professional should be sought. Tax information, if any, contained in this communication was not intended or written to be used by any person for the purpose of avoiding penalties, nor should such information be construed as an opinion upon which any person may rely. The intended recipients of this communication and any attachments are not subject to any limitation on the disclosure of the tax treatment or tax structure of any transaction or matter that is the subject of this communication and any attachments. Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP trading as Baker Tilly is a member of the global network of Baker Tilly International Ltd., the members of which are separate and independent legal entities. © 2018 Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP



CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS AND BUSINESS INTERRUPTION

- Contractual commitments are common in energy / petrochemical industries

- Ensures continuity of:
 - Supply for buyers
 - Revenue for sellers

- May be required by providers of finance

- Contractual commitments may significantly impact a Business Interruption loss:
 - Raw materials
 - Sales commitments

- All behaviour governed by contract – insured has to work within restrictions of contract



1 | Raw Materials



FEEDSTOCK / RAW MATERIALS – QUANTITIES

- Common contract types:
 - Take-or-pay contracts:
 - Long-term minimum take agreed
 - Buyer must pay even if minimum take not made
 - Contracts with rolling orders:
 - Buyer sets out requirement for a defined period, often rolling
 - Shorter-term commitment on take

CONTRACTUAL COST

- If agreed / minimum quantity not taken, could result in cost to the buyer
- Occasions when potentially no cost, when buyer cannot take:
 - Make up clause allowing catch up in later months / years (if applicable)
 - Force majeure (if applicable)
- Contractual clause may restrict the buyer's ability to consume at alternative locations or sell on the product
- Basis of cost depends on the contract but may be in the form of:
 - Cost of distressed sale, i.e. selling costs and lost sales value;
 - Value of shortfall against commitment
 - % of minimum take



IMPACT ON CLAIM

- Incident may result in lower feedstock requirements
- Contractual commitment to pay supplier
- Loss included within business interruption claim...

VARIABLE COSTS RELATING TO FEEDSTOCK CONTRACTS

- Claim for contractual costs on feedstock contracts quite common
- Raw materials / feedstocks = usually uninsured costs per the policy:
 - Purchases assume to vary directly with sales
 - Therefore costs “saved” if sales reduce
- With contractual commitment, if purchases are below minimum take, cost will not vary with sales/production
- Part of the cost is in fact “fixed”
- May not be indemnifiable as part of the loss of gross profit...

EXAMPLE OF FEEDSTOCK CONTRACTUAL COST

- Long term crude oil supply agreement – 150,000 barrels per day
- Monthly rolling plan for 3 months ahead
- Fire leads to a 75 day outage – buyer cannot take supply
- 60 day waiting period
- Seller has the *'right to sell the Crude oil in private sale'* due to failure of buyer to take delivery. Proceeds of sale shall first be credited against *'reasonable expenses incurred'* before purchase price owed by buyer.

Description	Unit	Cost
Barrels per day	BBL	150,000
Days outage	Days	15
Contract price	US\$/BBL	70
Contract cost	US\$	157,500,000
Difference in net sales proceeds	%	20%
Loss	US\$	31,500,000

**Contractual cost
can have a
significant impact
on total loss**

FEEDSTOCK ‘LOSS’ FREQUENTLY CLAIMED AS AN INCREASED COSTS OF WORKING

- BUT:
 - is it “...*necessarily and reasonably incurred for the sole purpose of avoiding or diminishing the reduction in turnover*.....
 -*but not exceeding the sum produced by applying the Rate of Gross Profit to the amount of the reduction thereby avoided*”?

i.e. is the cost incurred economic?

ADDITIONAL INCREASED COST OF WORKING

- Is there Additional Increased Cost of Working or Extra Expense cover?
 - This extension frequently requires the expense to “*protect turnover*” (but is not subject to the economic limit)
 - some wordings may say “...*resuming or maintaining normal business operations.*”

- Are purchases to meet contractual commitments the type of cost the AICW / EE is intended for?

- Is the AICW or EE cover sub-limited?
 - Given the size of the potential cost, sub-limits can be reached over a short period

- Even with AICW / EE cover, does an Insured have to declare FM to mitigate its loss?



2 | Sales Commitments

SALES COMMITMENTS - VOLUMES

- It is not unusual for an Insured to have supply agreements on the sales side

- These may be for a:
 - Limited monthly or annual volume
 - Some regulated markets the volume may be unspecified, but the contract simply says 'market demand'
 - A forward sales commitment

- If the above contractual conditions cannot be satisfied the options include:
 - Suffer the contractual penalties
 - Outsourcing - purchase in third party product
 - Declaration of '*force majeure*'



INCREASED COST OF WORKING – SALES COMMITMENTS

- Purchase in final product to meet supply obligations
- Likely to mitigate a loss of turnover....
- However, does it mitigate a loss of gross profit?
 - On a MT:MT basis?
 - Purchased in final product likely to be more expensive than production
 - Often will not be economic as buying in at selling price + transport
 - Within the Maximum indemnity period?

INCREASED COST OF WORKING – SALES COMMITMENTS (CONT.)

Description	But for US\$ per BBL	Actual US\$ per BBL
Selling price of final product	70	70
Production cost	(60)	
Import – purchase cost		(70)
Import – transport cost		(5)
Gross margin	10	(5)
Additional cost: split by?		15
Economic		10
Uneconomic		5



3 | Other Considerations



SUMS INSURED AND AVERAGE

- Feedstock costs often declared as uninsured costs:
 - Therefore, may not form part of BI Sums Insured

- However, portion of costs are in fact fixed, if purchases are below minimum take

- May result in underinsurance and application of average...

DEMURRAGE

- Due to an incident, buyer may not be able to immediately consume / store product
- Consequently, demurrage is incurred
- Does the policy cover demurrage? Is it a penalty?
- On occasion, the vessel transporting product could be considered a 'floating warehouse' mitigating the loss of a warehouse
- Results in additional demurrage cost
- Cost 'akin' to an additional storage cost
 - May need to split the cost between that mitigating the loss and that flowing from a contractual commitment
 - Policy may include a sub-limited cover for this expenditure

‘FORCE MAJEURE’ (“FM”) CLAUSE

- Frequently included in contracts
- Essentially frees both parties from liability or obligation when an extraordinary event or circumstance beyond the control of the parties prevents one or both parties fulfilling their obligation under contract
- Ability to declare FM dependent on:
 - Type of event
 - Wording of the clause
- Generally have a limited timeframe for the declaration
- Contract generally stipulates who decides whether it is an FM event

‘FORCE MAJEURE’ CONT...

- If declaration successful could avoid:
 - Obligation to buy in final product
 - Contractual costs for feedstock
 - May change revenue flows

- Whether the declaration of FM is accepted may take time to determine

- Insured may be reluctant to declare FM even if it mitigates the loss



PPA – FM EXAMPLE

- Gas fired power plant under a PPA
- Formula to calculate monthly revenue from available capacity is based on 6 month rolling average of availability
- Impact of shutdown continues beyond month of reinstatement
- Insured event resulted in shutdown of all units followed by staggered return to service
- Insured declared FM, which changed the formula to calculate revenue from available capacity so that there is no “tail” to the loss

PPA FM EXAMPLE

Month	% availability	Rolling average availability	Expected revenue from available capacity USD	Actual revenue from available capacity USD	Loss of revenue from available capacity USD
No FM declaration					
Jan-18	100	105	1,050	1,050	0
Feb-18	0	78	1,050	783	267
Mar-18	50	70	1,050	700	350
Apr-18	100	70	1,050	700	350
May-18	100	70	1,050	700	350
Jun-18	100	70	1,050	700	350
Jul-18	100	70	1,050	700	350
Aug-18	100	87	1,050	867	183
Total – No FM declaration					2,200
FM declared					
Feb-18	0	n/a	1,050	0	1,050
Mar-18	50	n/a	1,050	500	500
Total – FM declaration					1,550
Difference					650

- Need to consider on a case by case basis



SUMMARY

- Understanding contractual commitments for both feedstock and final product sales key to:
 - Understanding risk
 - Providing suitable cover
- Loss scenario - need to understand as soon as possible the impact of the contractual commitments to:
 - Understand the potential exposure
 - How / whether a loss can be mitigated
 - FM declaration?

Questions?